UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,352	11/22/2006	Louis A. Carpino	8884	4886
22922 7590 05/07/2010 REINHART BOERNER VAN DEUREN S.C. ATTN: LINDA KASULKE, DOCKET COORDINATOR 1000 NORTH WATER STREET			EXAMINER	
			COUGHLIN, MATTHEW P	
SUITE 2100 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1626		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/07/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

IPAdmin@reinhartlaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/577,352	CARPINO ET AL.
=xammer mudded meer car carmary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew P. Coughlin	1626
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>pen</u>	<u>iding</u>
(1) Matthew P. Coughlin.	(3)	
(2) <u>Rodney DeKruif</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 April 2010	Time: <u>3:30 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. Tof the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a writte e record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Rebecca L Anderson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that the case could be placed in condition for allowance upon an examiner's amendment. Applicant's representative inquired whether the proposed changes could be faxed so that he could review the changes himself, and if necessary, with Applicant or a person most suited to review the changes. The examiner indicated that the proposed amendment would be faxed to Applicant. On April 28th, 2010, the examiner faxed the proposed changes along with explanations of the changes. A copy of the faxed document (without the cover sheet) is attached to the instant interview summary as an office action appendix. On May 3rd, 2010, Rodney DeKruif called the examiner to confirm receipt of the faxed document and indicated that after appropriate review of the proposed changes, he would contact the examiner and indicate whether the proposed changes are acceptable.